IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

WRIT PETITION NO. 1480 (SS) OF 2001
(Old No. 17437 of 1999)

Bhumo Joshi,
W/o Shri Rajendra Joshi,
R/o Villlage Mai Fauta,
Post Dhnata Via Chakrata,
District-Dehradun. .....Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State of U.P. through its Secretary Bal Vikas Seva, Pustahar, U.P. Lucknow.
2. The Director Bal Vikas Seva, Pustahar, U.P. Lucknow.
3. Zila Karayakaram Adhikari, Bal Vikas, Prayaujna, District-Dehradun.
4. Bal Vikas Parayaujna, Adhikari, Chakrata, Distt.-Dehradun. .....Respondents.

Dated: 23.08.2006

Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon, J.

Heard Sri P.C. Jhingan, Advocate for the petitioner and Standing Counsel for the respondents.

2. By the present writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for a writ of certiorari quashing the order No. 7604-05/Va.Vi.Pari./Astha/98-99 dated 15th March, 1999 (Annexure – XII to the writ petition). Further prayer has been made for a writ of mandamus commanding the respondents to give promotion to the petitioner on the post of Anganbari

Supervisor by virtue of her long and unterrupted service and educational qualification.

3. In pursuance of the order passed by this Court on 15th December, 1998, the representation has been decided stating that the appointment of the petitioner was illegal and there is no provision for giving relaxation of age.

4. In view of the above, I find no merit in the writ petition. However, liberty is given to the petitioner to apply afresh in case the petitioner is eligible for the same.

5. Subject to the aforesaid, writ petition lacks merit and is dismissed. No order as to cost. If any applications are pending, the same are also dismissed.

(Rajesh Tandon, J.)

23.08.2006
Rathour